Advancements in Optimization: A 20-Year Review of Trends, Innovations, and Applications

[1]Inonoje, S. O. E. and [2]Apanapudor, J. S.

[1]Department of Mathematics, Southern Delta University, Ozoro.
[2] Department of Mathematics, Delta State University, Abraka
Correspondence Email Address: emmainonoje29@gmail.com

Abstract

Optimization, a foundational discipline in mathematics, computer science, and engineering, is dedicated to identifying the most effective solutions to complex problems by minimizing or maximizing objective functions subject to defined constraints. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the prevailing research trends in optimization during the past two decades, examining the evolution, interconnections, and strategic implications of Multi-Objective Optimization, Evolutionary Algorithms, Machine Learning Optimization, and general Algorithm Development. We explore the broad spectrum of contemporary applications and delineate promising future directions, including optimization under uncertainty, explainable optimization, and the nascent field of quantum optimization.

Keywords: Optimization, Multi-Objective Optimization, Algorithms, Machine Learning Optimization, Evolutionary Algorithms, and Algorithm Development

Introduction

Optimization is a cornerstone discipline with pervasive utility across virtually all scientific, engineering, and business sectors. Its ability to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and discover innovative solutions makes it indispensable for strategic decision-making and operational excellence. The period spanning the last two decades has been particularly transformative for optimization research, witnessing not only the maturation of established paradigms but also the emergence of groundbreaking approaches. This era has seen significant advancements in computational power, innovative algorithmic design, and the increasing complexity of real-world challenges. Our review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the significant progress, strategic importance, and ongoing frontiers within modern optimization research.

The scope of this review encompasses a broad range of optimization techniques, including Multi-Objective Optimization, Evolutionary Algorithms, Machine Learning Optimization, and general Algorithm Development. We examine the evolution of these areas, highlighting key milestones, influential methodologies, and illustrative examples. Furthermore, we discuss the interplay between these areas and their strategic implications for various applications. By exploring the intersections and advancements in these fields, we can better understand the current state of optimization research and its potential future directions. Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO) has gain attention and become a topic of application and study in recent time (Deb, et al., 2002). To solve these problems, users first evaluate the underlying problem

and select appropriate algorithms (Cai, et al., 2017). Addressing these complexities, it is incumbent on a developer to have a firm knowledge about various algorithms, each of which is focused on solving a specific class of optimization problem (Mirjalili, et al., 2016).

Scholars and researchers now investigate the use of Particle Swamp Optimization (POS) method. This is occasioned by the quest in different disciplines seeking to know its success as a single-objective optimizer particularly in continuous spaces. The modification of Particles Swamp Optimization techniques was first proposed (El Azaiz, et al., 2018; Oesterle, et al., 2019) for solving multi-objective problems.

This study is primarily aimed at advancement in optimization considering a 20 years review of the trends innovations and application. However, the objectives of the study is to present a consolidated framework of the various advancement of optimization spanning from Multi-Objective Optimization, Evolutionary Algorithms, Machine Learning Optimization and Algorithms Development with a view of a holistic understanding of their evolvement, challenges and future prospect. Also the study shall offer a roadmap for future research study geared towards identifying important research gaps and highlighting prospects.

Methodology

Our review examines the predominant research trends within the dynamic period of optimization research. These trends is seen from various scholarly viewpoints with several interconnected areas that focuses on solving problems with multiple conflicting objectives; that later translate to Multi-Objective Optimizations, Evolutionary Algorithms, Machine Learning Optimization and general Algorithms Development.

Multi-Objective Optimization

Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO) addresses problems where multiple, often conflicting, objective functions must be optimized simultaneously. The past two decades have seen significant advancements in MOO, particularly with the maturation and dominance of Evolutionary Multi-Objective Optimization (EMO). EMO algorithms, such as NSGA-II (Deb *et al.,* 2002) and SPEA2 (Zitzler *et al.,* 2001), have been widely adopted for their ability to effectively approximate the Pareto front. Recent research has focused on Many-Objective Optimization (MaOO), where the number of objectives exceeds three. Techniques such as decomposition-based EMO (MOEA/D) (Zhang & Li, 2007) and indicator-based EMO (IBEA) (Zitzler & Simon, 2004) have shown promise in addressing MaOO challenges.

The effectiveness of EMO algorithms can be attributed to their population-based approach, which allows for the exploration of diverse solutions concurrently. This capability is particularly beneficial in MOO, where the goal is to identify a set of Pareto-optimal solutions that represent the best possible trade-offs among the objectives. By leveraging the strengths of evolutionary algorithms, researchers and practitioners can tackle complex MOO problems that were previously intractable.

To further illustrate the application of EMO algorithms, consider the example of engineering design optimization. In this context, EMO algorithms can be used to optimize multiple objectives, such as minimizing weight, maximizing strength, and reducing cost. Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) are exceptionally well-suited for MOO due to their population-based nature,

enabling them to explore diverse solutions concurrently and discover a set of Pareto-optimal solutions in a single computational run, even for problems characterized by non-convex or discontinuous Pareto fronts (Deb, 2001; Coello *et al.,* 2007). By identifying the Pareto-optimal solutions, designers can select the most suitable solution that balances these competing objectives.

Evolutionary multi-objective optimization techniques have several major setbacks, including Convergence Challenges: Finding a set of solutions that lie on or close to the true Pareto-optimal front can be difficult. This means that the algorithm may struggle to identify the best possible solutions.

Diversity Issues: Achieving a set of solutions that are diverse enough to represent the entire range of the Pareto-optimal front can be problematic. This may lead to a limited understanding of the possible solutions.

Complexity in Handling Multiple Objectives: Multi-objective optimization problems involve optimizing two or more competing goals simultaneously, which can be challenging. Defining multiple objectives often gives a better idea of the task, but it also increases the complexity of the problem.

Computational Cost: Evolutionary algorithms can be computationally expensive, especially when dealing with large-scale problems or complex objective functions.

Difficulty in Selecting Optimal Solutions: With multiple objectives, selecting the most suitable solution can be challenging. Decision-makers need to consider trade-offs between different objectives, see (Deb, 2001; Coello et al., 2007).

Some of these challenges arise because multi-objective optimization problems are inherently complex and require balancing competing goals. Researchers continue to develop new techniques and improve existing ones to address these challenges.

Evolutionary Algorithms

Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) constitute a broad class of meta-heuristic optimization techniques inspired by biological evolution. EAs have seen extensive development and application over the past two decades, including the emergence of hybrid algorithms, parameter adaptation, and self-adaptation. Swarm Intelligence (SI) algorithms, such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004), have also gained popularity for their ability to solve complex optimization problems.

The development of hybrid algorithms has been a significant trend in EA research. By combining different optimization techniques, researchers can leverage the strengths of each approach to create more effective and robust algorithms. For instance, hybridizing EAs with local search methods can improve the convergence rate and solution quality in complex optimization problems. Additionally, parameter adaptation and self-adaptation mechanisms have been developed to enhance the performance of EAs by dynamically adjusting their parameters during the optimization process.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of hybrid algorithms, consider the example of job shop scheduling. In this context, a hybrid algorithm combining EAs with local search methods can be used to optimize the scheduling of jobs on multiple machines. By leveraging the strengths

of both approaches, the hybrid algorithm can identify high-quality solutions that minimize make-span and reduce tardiness.

Some major setbacks of evolutionary algorithms include:

Computational Cost: Evolutionary algorithms can be computationally expensive, especially for large-scale problems or complex fitness functions.

Convergence Issues: EA's may struggle to converge to a global optimum, getting stuck in local optima instead.

Sensitivity to Parameters: Performance can be highly sensitive to parameter settings (e.g., mutation rate, crossover rate, population size).

Premature Convergence: EA's may converge too quickly to a suboptimal solution, losing diversity in the population.

Difficulty in Handling Constraints: Incorporating constraints into the optimization problem can be challenging.

Noisy or Uncertain Fitness Functions: EA's may struggle with noisy or uncertain fitness functions, leading to incorrect convergence.

High-Dimensional Search Spaces: EA's can struggle with high-dimensional search spaces, leading to decreased performance.

These limitations highlight the need for careful parameter tuning, problem formulation, and potentially hybridizing EA's with other optimization techniques

Machine Learning Optimization

The intersection of machine learning and optimization has emerged as a dynamic and impactful research area. Optimization techniques, such as Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) and its variants (Kingma & Ba, 2014), have been crucial for training deep neural networks. Recent research has focused on developing more efficient and robust optimization algorithms, such as adaptive learning rate methods and large-scale optimization techniques. These advancements have enabled the application of machine learning to a wide range of complex problems, including computer vision, natural language processing, and recommender systems.

One of the key challenges in machine learning optimization is the development of algorithms that can efficiently handle large-scale datasets and complex models. To address this challenge, researchers have proposed various optimization techniques, such as distributed optimization algorithms and parallel computing methods. These techniques have enabled the training of large-scale machine learning models on massive datasets, leading to significant improvements in performance and accuracy.

Another important area of research in machine learning optimization is the development of adaptive learning rate methods. These methods, such as Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2014) and (Tieleman & Hinton, 2012), adaptively adjust the learning rate based on the magnitude of the gradient, leading to faster convergence and improved stability. Additionally, large-scale optimization techniques, such as batch normalization (Ioffe & Szegedy, 2015) and layer normalization (Ba *et al.,* 2016), have been proposed to improve the training of deep neural networks.

Setbacks of Machine Learning Optimization

1. Overcomplexity or Overfitting: When a model is too complex, it memorizes the training data instead of learning general patterns. This results in excellent performance on the training set but poor performance on new, unseen data.

2. Insufficient learning or Underfitting: When a model is too simple, it fails to capture the underlying patterns in the data. This leads to poor performance on both the training and testing sets.

3. Optimization traps or Local Minima: Optimization algorithms aim to find the best solution, but sometimes they get stuck in local minima. This means the model converges to a solution that isn't the best possible one.

4. Training instability or Vanishing/Exploding Gradients: During training, gradients are used to update model parameters. However, gradients can become too small (vanishing) or too large (exploding), making it difficult to train deep models.

5. Hyper parameter search: Hyperparameters are settings that need to be chosen before training a model. Finding the optimal hyperparameters can be time-consuming and requires careful tuning.

6. Resource intensity or Computational Cost: Training large models requires significant computational power, memory, and time. This can be a challenge, especially for those with limited resources.

7. Data imperfections or Data Quality Issues: Machine learning models rely on high-quality data. Noisy, biased, or incomplete data can negatively impact model performance and accuracy.

8. Lack of transparency or Interpretability: Complex models can be difficult to understand, making it challenging to explain their decisions or predictions. This is particularly important in applications where interpretability is crucial.

To address these challenges, various techniques are used, such as:

Regularization to prevent overfitting, Early stopping to prevent overtraining, Batch normalization to stabilize training, Hyperparameter tuning using grid search, random search, or Bayesian optimization, Using pre-trained models or transfer learning to reduce training time and improve performance, Data preprocessing and augmentation to improve data quality, Model interpretability techniques, such as feature importance or SHAP values, to understand model decisions.

Algorithm Development

Algorithms development rest majorly with software development by providing the logic and instructions that enables computers to perform specific task. Owing to evolving technologies, developers constantly strive to create more efficient and powerful solution. The general trends

in algorithmic development have profoundly shaped the landscape of optimization. Algorithm development trends toward handling increasingly complex and large-scale problems, driven by advances in computing and artificial intelligence. Basically, it focuses on nature inspired metaheuristic and optimization of artificial intelligent models. This involves bit only improving algorithm efficiency and accuracy but also geared towards addressing challenges such as scalability, robustness and interpretability in real-time applications. Metaheuristic Algorithms particularly those inspired by biological system (like Particle Swamp Optimization (POS), Lion Optimization Algorithms), currently gained prominence remarkable for their ability to find optimized solutions in complex search spaces. The increased sophistication of meta-heuristics and hybrid algorithms has led to the development of more effective optimization techniques. Algorithm portfolios and hyper-heuristics have also gained attention for their ability to adapt to different problem instances and domains (Xu *et al.,* 2010). Model-based and data-driven optimization has become increasingly important for problems with computationally expensive objective function evaluations.

The development of meta-heuristics and hybrid algorithms has been a significant trend in optimization research. By combining different optimization techniques, researchers can leverage the strengths of each approach to create more effective and robust algorithms (Burke *et al.,* 2010). For instance, hybridizing evolutionary algorithms with local search methods can improve the convergence rate and solution quality in complex optimization problems. This involves constructing computationally cheaper approximation models (surrogates) of the objective function and/or constraints using various machine learning techniques (e.g., Gaussian processes, polynomial response surfaces, radial basis functions, neural networks). The optimization is then performed on this rapid-to-evaluate surrogate model, significantly reducing the number of expensive real evaluations required (Wang & Shan, 2007).

Algorithm portfolios and hyper-heuristics have also emerged as promising areas of research in optimization. These approaches involve the use of multiple algorithms or heuristics to solve a problem, allowing for greater flexibility and adaptability. By selecting the most suitable algorithm or heuristic for a given problem instance, researchers can improve the performance and efficiency of optimization algorithms. This includes the development of standardized benchmark suites, the widespread use of statistical tests for significant differences between algorithm performances, and sophisticated visualization techniques for understanding algorithmic behavior across various problem instances and dimensions (Li *et al.,* 2015).

Applications and Future Directions

Optimization has a wide range of applications across various domains, including engineering design, operations research, finance, healthcare, and machine learning. Optimization is integral to achieving peak performance, efficiency, and safety, from designing optimal aerodynamic shapes in aerospace engineering (Martins & Hwang, 2013). Future directions for optimization research include:

Optimization under Uncertainty and Robustness

Developing optimization algorithms that can explicitly handle uncertainty and provide robust solutions will become increasingly important. This area of research has significant implications for real-world applications, where uncertainty and robustness are critical considerations. Optimization under uncertainty and robustness offers several advantages, including:

1. Improved Reliability: By accounting for uncertainty, optimization solutions become more reliable and less prone to failure.

2. Risk Mitigation: Robust optimization helps mitigate risks associated with uncertainty, leading to more informed decision-making.

3. Increased Flexibility: Optimization under uncertainty allows for flexible solutions that can adapt to changing conditions.

4. Better Handling of Variability: Robust optimization accounts for variability in inputs, parameters, or scenarios, leading to more effective solutions.

5. Enhanced Decision-Making: By considering uncertainty, decision-makers can make more informed choices, balancing trade-offs between competing objectives.

6. Reduced Sensitivity to Uncertainty: Robust solutions are less sensitive to uncertainty, ensuring more consistent performance across different scenarios.

7. Improved Performance in Real-World Applications: Optimization under uncertainty and robustness is particularly useful in real-world applications where uncertainty is inherent, such as finance, engineering, and logistics.

8. Ability to Handle Complex Systems: Robust optimization can handle complex systems with multiple sources of uncertainty, leading to more effective solutions.

These advantages make optimization under uncertainty and robustness a valuable approach in various fields, enabling decision-makers to develop more reliable, flexible, and effective solutions

Explainable Optimization

Providing insights into the decision-making process of optimization algorithms will be crucial for building trust and ensuring accountability. Explainable optimization algorithms can provide valuable insights into the optimization process, enabling researchers and practitioners to better understand the strengths and limitations of different optimization techniques, Bertsimas & Dunn, (2017).
Explainable optimization offers several advantages, including:

1. Transparency: Explainable optimization provides insights into the decision-making process, making it easier to understand how solutions are generated.

2. Trust: By providing explanations, optimization results become more trustworthy, especially in high-stakes applications.

3. Model Interpretability: Explainable optimization helps understand the relationships between inputs, parameters, and outputs.

4. Debugging and Improvement: Explainability facilitates debugging and improvement of optimization models by identifying key drivers of solutions.

5. Regulatory Compliance: Explainable optimization can help meet regulatory requirements for transparency and accountability.

6. Stakeholder Understanding: Explainable optimization enables stakeholders to understand optimization results, fostering better communication and collaboration.

7. Identifying Biases: Explainability helps identify biases in data or models, allowing for more fair and equitable solutions.

8. Improved Decision-Making: By providing insights into optimization results, explainable optimization supports more informed decision-making.

These advantages make explainable optimization essential in applications where transparency, trust, and interpretability are crucial.

Quantum Optimization

Quantum computing offers the potential for exponential speedups for certain classes of optimization problems, and research in this area is rapidly expanding. Quantum optimization algorithms, such as the Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) (Farhi *et al.,* 2014), have shown promise in solving complex optimization problems more efficiently than classical algorithms.

The future of optimization research is likely to be shaped by the increasing complexity of real-world problems and the need for more efficient and effective optimization algorithms. As optimization continues to evolve, we can expect to see significant advancements in areas such as machine learning optimization, quantum optimization, and explainable optimization. These advancements will enable researchers and practitioners to tackle complex optimization problems in a wide range of domains, leading to significant improvements in performance, efficiency, and decision-making (Peruzzo, et al., 2014).

Deep Interaction of Optimization and Artificial Intelligence

The Scalability and synergy between classical mathematical computation, meta-heuristic and machine hearing has increasing involves artificial intelligence in the underlying structure of optimization problems in tackling high-quality initial solutions through optimization techniques. This allows artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to provide preferences, insights and validate the search process, creating a powerful feedback loop. Bertsimas & Kallus, (2020).

The deep interaction of optimization and artificial intelligence (AI) offers several advantages, including:

1. Improved Solution Quality: AI-powered optimization can find better solutions to complex problems by leveraging machine learning and other AI techniques.

2. Efficient Search: AI can efficiently search vast solution spaces, reducing the computational cost and time required to find optimal solutions.

3. Adaptability: AI-driven optimization can adapt to changing problem conditions, such as shifting objectives or constraints.

4. Handling Complexity: AI can handle complex, nonlinear problems that are challenging for traditional optimization methods.

5. Data-Driven Decision-Making: AI-powered optimization can leverage large datasets to inform decision-making and optimize solutions.

6. Autonomy: AI-driven optimization can operate autonomously, making decisions without human intervention.

7. Scalability: AI-powered optimization can scale to large, complex problems, making it suitable for real-world applications.

8. Innovative Solutions: The combination of optimization and AI can lead to innovative solutions that might not be possible with traditional methods.

Discussion

The last two decades have witnessed a transformative era in optimization research, marked by profound advancements and accelerated progress. The field has demonstrated remarkable adaptability and innovation, driven by the widespread adoption of sophisticated techniques in Multi-Objective Optimization and Evolutionary Algorithms. The strategic importance of optimization has been further underscored by its fundamental role in powering machine learning, enabling the development of highly integrated, data-driven algorithmic paradigms that have revolutionized numerous domains.

As the complexity of real-world challenges continues to escalate, the field of optimization has responded with an impressive array of innovative solutions. From the development of robust optimization algorithms that can effectively handle uncertainty and ambiguity, to the emergence of explainable optimization techniques that provide valuable insights into the decision-making process, researchers have made significant strides in pushing the boundaries of what is possible.

The future of optimization research holds much promise, with exciting and promising directions in robust and explainable optimization, as well as the exploration of revolutionary approaches such as quantum computing. As the field continues to evolve, it is likely that we will see significant advancements in the development of more efficient, effective, and adaptable optimization algorithms, capable of tackling complex problems in a wide range of domains.

The continued interplay between rigorous theoretical developments, ingenious algorithmic design, and impactful practical applications ensures that optimization will remain a vital and dynamic discipline, continually driving innovation and providing a competitive edge across all sectors. As researchers and practitioners, we are poised to harness the power of optimization to address some of the most pressing challenges of our time, from climate change and sustainable development to healthcare and economic growth.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the past two decades have been a testament to the transformative power of optimization research. The review provides a systematic overview of the current advancements in multi-objective optimization and Algorithms development. As we look to the future, it is clear that optimization will continue to play a critical role in shaping the world around us, driving innovation, and enabling us to tackle complex problems with greater efficiency, effectiveness, and precision. The study explores various advancements in optimization and provide applications summary to numerous areas in engineering design, healthcare, finance, and in real world phenomenon with certain algorithms and techniques of optimization. With its unique blend of theoretical rigor, algorithmic ingenuity, and practical relevance, optimization research is poised to remain a vital and dynamic field, driving progress and innovation for years to come. There are numerous possibilities for future research and

development in optimization field, especially the advent of algorithms of hybrid optimization that offers the possibility for combining benefits from several techniques that lead to potential and efficient solutions that is capable of solving complex world problems.

References

Ba. J. L.; Kiros, J. R.; & Hinton, G. E. (2016). Models for Optimization and supply chain: An Operations Research Perspective, *Renewable Energy,* 07.045 http://dx.doi.org./10.1016/j.renene 2015.

Bertsimas, D., & Dunn, J. (2017). Machine Learning Under a Modern Optimization Lens. *INFORMS Journal on Optimization*, *1(1)*, 1–28.

Bertsimas, D., & Kallus, N. (2020). From predictive to prescriptive analytics. *Management Science,* 66(3), 1025–1044.

Burke, E. K.; Hyde, M. R.; Kendall, G.; Ochoa, G.; Ozcan, E., & Woodward, J. R. (2010). A survey of hyper-heuristics. *The Computer Journal*, *53(1)*, 1–22.

Cai, X.; Mei, Z.; Fan, Z.; & Zhang, Q. (2017). A constrained decomposition approach with grids for evolutionary multi-objective optimization. *IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput.,* 22, 564–577

Coello Coello, C. A.; Lamont, G. B.; & Van Veldhuizen, D. A. (2007). *Evolutionary algorithms for solving multi-objective problems*. Springer.

Deb, K. (2001). *Multi-objective optimization using evolutionary algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons.

Deb, K..; Pratap, A.; Agarwal, S., & Meyarivan, T. (2002). A fast and elitist multi-objective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, *6*(2), 182–197.

Deb, K.; Anand, A.; & Joshi, D. (2002). A Computationally Efficient Evolutionary Algorithm for Real-Parameter Optimization. *Evol. Comput. 10*, 371–395.

Dorigo, M., & Stützle, T. (2004). *Ant Colony Optimization*. MIT Press.

El Aziz, M.A.; Ewees, A.A.; Hassanien, A.E.; Mudhsh, M.; & Xiong, S. (2018). Multi-objective whale optimization algorithm for multilevel thresholding segmentation. In Advances in Soft Computing and Machine Learning in Image Processing; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, pp. 23–39.

Farhi, E.; Goldstone, J.; & Gutmann, S. (2014). A Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge; MA 02139

Ioffe, S., & Szegedy, C. (2015). Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 448–456). PMLR.

Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, *4*, 1942–1948.

Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. (2014). Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.

Li, H.; Zhang, Q.; & Deng, J. (2015). A reference-point-based many-objective evolutionary algorithm with two types of search methods. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, *19(5),* 603–622.

Martins, J. R. R. A., & Hwang, J. J. (2013). Aerodynamic design optimization using adjoint methods. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *371(1996)*, 20120306.

Mirjalili, S.; Saremi, S.; Mirjalili, S.M.; & Coelho, L.D.S. (2016). Multi-objective grey wolf optimizer: A novel algorithm for multi-criterion optimization. *Expert Syst. Appl. 47*, 106–119.

Oesterle, J.; Amodeo, L.; & Yalaoui, F. (2019). A comparative study of multi-objective algorithms for the assembly line balancing and equipment selection problem under consideration of product design alternatives. *J. Intell. Manuf. 30*, 1021–1046.

Peruzzo, A.; McClean, J.; Shadbolt, P & Obrien, J. L. (2014). A variational eigenvalue solver on a quantum processor. *Nature Communications*, 5, 4213.

Tieleman, T., & Hinton, G. (2012). Divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude. *COURSERA: Neural Networks for Machine Learning*. Lecture 6.8 – rmsprop.

Wang, G. G., & Shan, S. (2007). A survey of modeling and optimization in engineering design using surrogate models. *ASME Journal of Mechanical Design*, *129(8)*, 867–877.

Xu, H., Frank, E., & Witten, I. H. (2010). Using a meta-learner to blend base learners. *Machine Learning*, *79*, 293–329.

Zhang, Q., & Li, H. (2007). MOEA/D: A Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithm Based on Decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, *11(6)*, 712–731.

Zitzler, E., & Simon, M. (2004). Indicator-based selection for multi-objective optimization. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving from Nature* (pp. 832–842). Springer.

Zitzler, E.; Laumanns, M.; & Thiele, L. (2001). *SPEA2: Improving the strength Pareto evolutionary algorithm*. TIK-Report, 103.